

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,324	07/03/2003	Henry M. Hund JR.	0554300/2006	4056
7590 02/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
David J. Hill			FOX, CHARLES A	
Chambliss, Bah 1000 Tallan Bu	ner & Stophel, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Two Union Square			3652	
Chattanooga, TN 37402			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M	1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
V		10/612,324	HUND ET AL.	
7	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Charles A. Fox	3652	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	ş
THE - Ex aft - If t - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repNO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing right patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 111	November 2004.		
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3)[] Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispos	ition of Claims			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	awn from consideration.		
Applica	ation Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)[∑	The drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• • •	* *
11)∟	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Its have been received in a pointy documents have been	Application No	e
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachm	• •			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Inf	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 per No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	
			_	

j

Application/Control Number: 10/612,324

Art Unit: 3652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. in view of Riedl et al. Smith et al. US 5,547,332 teaches a refuse collection vehicle comprising:

a frame;

a collection bin (19) mounted on said frame;

an apparatus (35) for lifting and dumping a container into said bin;

a storage compartment (17) mounted to the rear of said bin;

a gate at the rear of said storage compartment.

Smith et al. do not teach a collection compartment at the rear of the storage compartment. Riedl et al. US 3,204,789 teaches a refuse collection vehicle comprising:

a collection compartment (3) pivotally attached to the rear of a refuse storage compartment;

a packer blade (12) within the collection compartment;

means for moving the packer blade so as to move refuse into the storage compartment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Smith et al. with a rear collection

Application/Control Number: 10/612,324

Art Unit: 3652

compartment as taught by Riedl et al. in order to allow the device to be loaded from both the side and the rear as needed by an operator.

Claims 19,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over. Smith et al. in view of Riedl et al. as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Armstrong. Smith et al. in view of Riedl et al. teach the limitations of claim 18 as above Smith et al. further teach:

a container grab assembly (35) which includes a pair of opposed grabbing arms in a spaced relationship that are operable by a fluid operated actuating system to grab and release a container;

an articulated arm that is operable by a fluid operated actuator through a cycle that includes retracted, extended, lifting and dumping positions.

Smith et al. do not teach the lifting arm as having articulated joints with parallel links.

Armstrong US 3,952,890 teaches an articulated lifting arm comprising:

a base link (1);

an upper link (20) having a first and second end;

a reach link (7) having a first end pivotally attached to the base link, and a second end pivotally attached to the upper link;

a lift arm (5) having a first and second end, one of said end connected to a lifting device (3);

a system of hydraulic actuators (9,11,14) for movement of the articulated structure;

Page 4

wherein during movement of said arm the base link and the upper link remain substantially parallel with each other;

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the apparatus taught by Smith et al. in view of Riedl et al. with an articulated arm as taught by Armstrong in order to allow the device to pick up containers at close distances from the vehicle thereby allowing the vehicle to operate in areas with restricted spaces such as alleyways.

In regards to claim 21 Smith et al. and Armstrong both further teach using double acting hydraulic actuators to move their lifting arms.

Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al., Riedl et al. and Armstrong as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Pickrell. In regards to claims 22 and 23 Smith et al, Riedl et al. and Armstrong teach the limitations of claim 19 as above they do not teach a gear assembly being used on the gripping device. Pickrell US 5,026,104 teaches a gripping assembly for lifting a container for emptying, said gripper comprising:

a side support arm (29), having a first and second end where said first end is attached to a lift arm;

a gear box that is mounted on said second end of said support arm, said gear box including a left gear (38 and a right gear (35);

a right shaft (33) on which right gear is mounted;

a left shaft (34) on which left gear is mounted;

Application/Control Number: 10/612,324

Art Unit: 3652

a drive link (40) with a first and a second end, where said first end is mounted on

said right shaft;

a left grabbing arm (52) mounted on said left shaft;

a right grabbing arm mounted on said right shaft;

a grabber cylinder (43) having a base end and a rod end, one of said ends being

mounted on the side support arm and the other being attached to said second end of

said drive link;

wherein said relationship between said gears, drive link and grabber cylinder are

such that retraction of the cylinder rod will move the grabbing arms from an open

position to a grab position.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of

invention to provide the apparatus taught by Smith et al, Riedl et al. and Armstrong with

a grabber as taught by Pickrell in order to be able to pick up containers of various sizes

and shapes without having to adjust the gripping mechanism to suit the container being

picked up.

In regards to claim 24 it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art, at the time of invention to enclose the gears of the gripping mechanism of Smith et

al. as modified by Pickrell as it is a well known expedient to place gears in a closed

box with extending shafts to protect the gears from the elements as well as providing a

means to easily lubricate the them.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et

al. in view of Riedl et al. as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Moore et

Application/Control Number: 10/612,324 Page 6.

Art Unit: 3652

al. Smith et al. and Riedl et al. teach the limitations of claim 18 as above, they do not

teach a front loading device on their device. Moore et al. US 5,861,580 teaches a refuse

collection vehicle comprising:

a frame;

a collection bin (14) mounted on said frame;

an apparatus (16) for emptying a container into said bin;

a storage compartment mounted adjacent to said collection bin;

wherein said apparatus for emptying containers comprises;

a pair of lifting arms (20) with a first end pivotally connected to said frame

and a fork (10) pivotally attached to a second end of said arms;

said fork being adapted to engage sleeves (32) of a container (30) for

lifting thereof;

a first fluid-operated actuator (26) for pivoting said fork, and a second

fluid-operated actuator (18) for pivoting said arm about its first end. It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device

taught by Smith et al. with the front loading abilities taught by Moore et al. in order to

allow the device to pick up and empty both residential and commercial type containers

thereby making the vehicle more versatile in the field.

Response to Amendment

The amendments to the claims and specification filed on November 11,

2004 have been entered into the record.

Page 7

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25-30 are allowed. Claim 25 has structural limitations dealing with the

placement of the lift and reach cylinders that is not taught or suggested in the prior art of

Smith et al. or Armstrong. Claims 26-30 which depend from claim 25 are also in

condition for allowance at this time.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-24 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 703-605-

4294 up to April 6, 2005 and 571-272-6923 thereafter. The examiner can normally be

reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAF

2-22-05

EILEEN D. LILLIS
UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600